International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research, Volume 7, Issue 10, October-2016
ISSN 2229-5518


# Structural Behaviour of Reinforced Concrete Haunched Beam

Anu Jolly, VidyaVijayan

**Abstract**—Beams are the major structural element that is capable of carrying and transferring load which is designed primarily for bending and shear. A careful approach in its design will lead to efficient use of concrete and steel reinforcement. Prismatic beams are commonly used in medium span beams. As span increase such beams become uneconomical due to increase in depth. In such situation non prismatic beams (haunched beams) are good solution. In the present study the structural behaviour of reinforced concrete haunched beam is studied in ANSYS and ETABS.Comparison of Prismatic and Reinforced concrete haunched beam in terms of displacement and stress intensity has been done by performing nonlinear static analysis in ANSYS.Seismic analysis of RC frames with linear and stepped haunch beams will be studied based on the Time Period, Base Shear and Inter storey Drift.

**Index Terms**—reinforced concrete haunched beam (RCHB), prismatic beam, nonlinear finite element method

## 1 Introduction

The Members those do not have the same cross-sectional properties from one end to the other and those having reinforcement over parts of their lengths and those do not have a straight axis are known as Non-prismatic beams. The most common forms of structural members that are non-prismatic have haunches that are either stepped or tapered or parabolic in shape.Non-prismatic concrete beams can provide steel and concrete savings when used to replace equivalent strength prismatic elements.

The non-prismatic members having varying depths are frequently used in the form of haunched beams. The cross-section of the beams can be made non-prismatic by varying width, depth, or by varying both depth and width continuously or discontinuously along their length. Variation in width causes difficulty in construction. Therefore, beams with varying depth are generally provided. Either the soffit or top surface of the beam can be inclined to obtain varying cross-section, but the former practice is more common. The soffit profile may have triangular or parabolic haunches. Effective depth of such beams varies from point to point and the internal compressive and tensile stress resultants are inclined. It makes the analysis of such beams slightly different from prismatic beams.Reinforced concrete haunched beams (RCHBs) are used in cantilever retaining wall, framed buildings, simply supported and continuous bridges for economic and aesthetic reasons.

They favor more efficient use of materials to clear a given span or to provide a reasonable clear height for the stories of buildings.They provide the following advantages with respect to prismatic beams under lateral loading:

(a) More efficient use of concrete and steel reinforcement,

(b)The weight of the building can be reduced for a given lateral stiffness,

(c)Eases the placement of different facilities or equipment (electrical, air conditioning, sewage, etc.)

(d)Aesthetic reasons.

- *Anu Jolly is currently pursuing masters degree program in computer aided structural engineering in MG University, India, PH-9947774490. E-mail: anujolly99@gmail.com*
- *VidyaVijayanis currently working as Asst. professor in civil engineering in MG University, India, PH-8943011755. E-mail: vidyavijayan25@gmail.com*

## 2 Modelling of RCHB and Prismatic Beam Using Finite Element Method in Ansys

A conventional reinforced concrete beam and haunched beam were modelled in ANSYS as volume. Quarter of the total dimension are modelled.

### 2.1Description of analytical model

Prismatic beam size: 165cmX65cmX11cm.
RCHB size: h max: 45cm
h min: 25cm
Beam thickness: 11cm

Fig. 1. Geometry, loads and boundary conditions of RCHBs



TABLE 1
Description of models

| ***Models*** | ***Haunch Angle(∞)*** |
|---|---|
| 1RCHB-$S_0$, RCHB-$S_1$ | 3.06$^o$ |
| 2RCHB-$S_0$, RCHB-$S_1$ | 6.12$^o$ |
| 3RCHB-$S_0$, RCHB-$S_1$ | 9.13$^o$ |
| 4RCHB-$S_0$, RCHB-$S_1$ | 12.10$^o$ |

### 2.2Element Types

TABLE 2
Element types used for modelling

| ***Components*** | ***Element Types*** |
|---|---|
| Concrete | SOLID 65 |
| Steel reinforcement | LINK 180 |
| Loading plate | SOLID 185 |

### 2.3Meshing

To obtain good result from the solid 65 element, the use of rectangular mesh was done. There for the mesh was set up such that rectangular elements were created. A suitable mesh size is chosen to achieve sufficient accuracy and at the same time not to lengthen the runtime too long.






Fig. 2. Meshed model of RCHB

### 2.4 Boudary Conditions and Loading

Instead of modelling the total structure, quarter portion was modelled. At a plane of symmetry, the displacement in the direction perpendicular to the plane was held at zero. A single line of nodes on the plate were given constraint in the y and z directions. Four point loading system and loading plates are established to make actual loading system. The introduction of loading plates will increase the distribution of load throughout the structure




Fig. 3. Boundary condition and loading




Fig. 4. Flexure and shear reinforcement configuration

## 3 Modelling of Prismatic and Haunched Frame Buildings in ETABS

In ETABS Nonlinear version 13.1.2, one can model non prismatic beams by dividing the element length into any number of segments; these do not need to be of equal length. Non prismatic properties are interpolated along the length of each segment from the values at the two ends. The variation of bending stiffness may be linear, parabolic or cubic over each segment of length.

### 3.1Material Properties

Density of concrete is 25 KN/ m3. M-25 grade of concrete and Fe 415 grade of reinforcing steel are used for all the frame models considered in this study. The modulus of elasticity for concrete is taken as 25000Mpa.

ISSN 2229-5518

### 3.2Geometry and Loading Conditions

In the present study, Bare frames situated in seismic zone 3 are considered with variations of heights (G+2), (G+4), (G+6), (G+8),Depth of foundation is taken as 1.5m .The storey height taken is 3m.Two types of non-prismatic members are developed which includes linear haunch (LH) and stepped haunch (SH). The size of prismatic beam is taken as 500mmx300mm and size of non-prismatic beam at the support as 1000mm and 750mm at the mid-section with a width of 300mm. Sizes of columns have been taken as 500mmx 300mm. Thickness of slab is taken as 150 mm; floor finish load is 1 KN/m2, Live load on floor slabs is 4 KN/m2.



Fig. 5. Plan of building



Fig. 6. Elevation

### 3.3Methodology

Nonlinear static Pushover analysis: pushover analysis is a useful tool for assessing inelastic strength and deformation demands in the structure, and for exposing design weaknesses .its foremost advantage is that it facilitates the design engineer to recognize important seismic response quantities and to use engineering judgement to alter suitably the force and deformation demands and capacities that controls the seismic response close to failure. The main output of pushover analysis is in the form of a force-displacement curve, called pushover curve

## 4 Analysis Results

### 4.1Ansys



Fig. 7. Deflection on RCHB



Fig. 8. Stress Intensity on RCHB

TABLE 3
Comparison of Beams

| BEAM | DEFLECTION | STRESS INTENSITY |
|---|---|---|
| Haunched beam | 1.22264 | 26.44 |
| Prismatic beam | 0.9771 | 27.182 |

### 4.2Etabs




Fig. 9. Base shear graph




Fig. 10. Time period graph




Fig. 11. Storey drift graph

## 5 Conclusions

1. Deflection developed in RCHBs is more than that of Prismatic beams. This increment is primarily related to the capacity of RCHBs to redistribute cracking along the haunched length. The higher deformation capacity in nonprismatic beams is due to the arching action along the haunched length.

2. Stress Intensity in RCHBs is 3% lesser than that of the prismatic beams.

3. Fundamental natural period T is an inherent property of a building. Any alterations made to the building will change its T. Time period of prismatic beams are close to the haunched beams.

4. The presence of non-prismatic member can affect the seismic behaviour of frame structure i.e. it decreases the stiffness of the structure which in turn reduces the base shear.

5. The presence of non-prismatic member Increase the lateral stiffness of buildings substantially, control the code drift limits

6. Since a major portion of weight of the building has been omitted, this can be used in design to justify either higher applied loadings or longer span so in such case haunched beams are more effective than a prismatic beam.

## Acknowledgment

I wish to thank my parents, my guide Mrs. VidyaVijayan, and my friends. Above all I thank GOD.

## References

[1] Eber Alberto Godinez - Dominguez , Arturo Tena-Colunga , Gelacio Juarez-Luna, *Nonlinear Finite Element modeling of Reinforced Concrete Haunched Beams Designed To Develop A Shear Failure*, Journal of Engineering Structures,2015.

[2] Prerana Nampalli1, PrakarshSangave, *Linear And Non-Linear Analysis of Reinforced Concrete Frames With Members of Varying Inertia*, IOSR Journal of Mechanical and Civil Engineering,2015

[3] ChenweiHou, Koji Matsumoto And Junichiro Niwa , *Shear Failure Mechanism of Reinforced Concrete Haunched Beams*, ASCE Journal of Structural Engineering, 2015

[4] Hasan M. Albegmprli1, Abdulkadir Ç evik , M. Eren Gülşan1, and Ahmet Emin Kurtoglu ,*Reliability Analysis of Reinforced Concrete Haunched Beams Shear Capacity Based on Stochastic Nonlinear FE Analysis*, Journal of computers and concrete,2014

[5] John J. Orr, Timothy J. I bell, Antony P. Darby, Mark Evernden, *Shear Behaviour of Non-Prismatic Steel Reinforced Concrete Beams*, Journal of Engineering Structures, 2014

[6] Hans I. Archundia-Aranda, Arturo Tena-Colunga , Alejandro Grande-

Vega, *Behavior Of Reinforced Concrete Haunched Beams Subjected to Cyclic Shear Loading*, Journal of Engineering Structures,2013.

[7]Martínez-Becerril, LuisAndrés, *Lateral Stiffness of Reinforced Concrete Moment Frames With Haunched Beams,* Journal of World Conference on Earthquake Engineering, 2012